

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ERIC SHEUMANN,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

ALPHA TEAM CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:18-cv-01772-JCM-NJK

Order

(Docket No. 12)

13 Pending before the Court is the parties' stipulation to extend various discovery deadlines.
14 Docket No. 12. In reality, the stipulation appears to be a request to stay discovery pending the
15 parties' analysis of records and attempts to settle the case. *Id.*

16 A motion to extend deadlines in the Court's scheduling order must be supported by a
17 showing of "good cause" for the extension. Local Rule 26-4; *see also Johnson v. Mammoth*
18 *Recreations, Inc.*, 975 F.2d 604, 608-609 (9th Cir.1992). Good cause to extend a discovery
19 deadline exists "if it cannot reasonably be met despite the diligence of the party seeking the
20 extension." *Johnson*, 975 F.2d at 609. The good cause inquiry focuses primarily on the movant's
21 diligence. *See Coleman v. Quaker Oats Co.*, 232 F.3d 1271, 1294-95 (9th Cir.2000). Here, the
22 parties fail to show they are conducting discovery diligently. Further, the parties fail to address
23 the applicable standards for a request to stay discovery.

24 Accordingly, the stipulation, Docket No. 12, is hereby **DENIED** without prejudice.

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 Dated: April 2, 2019

27
28


NANCY J. KOPPE
United States Magistrate Judge